

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/712,250	Applicant(s) KROOS ET AL.	
	Examiner Shefali D Patel	Art Unit 2621	

All Participants:

(1) Shefali D Patel.

(2) Mr. Tomoki Tanida.

Status of Application: in condition for allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 March 2005

Time: 10:00AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

9-17, 20, 21, 25, 26-28

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)


BRIAN WERNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Tanida for a possible examiner's amendment to overcome a few antedecence basis issues in claims 20 and 25 and a minor error in claim 21. Also, the examiner clarified that withdrawn caims 9-17 and 26-28 needed to be cancelled in order to put the application in condition for allowance. See, examiner's amendment and allowance for details.